




# Beyond Fermat's Last Theorem

David Zureick-Brown  
Amherst College

Slides available at <http://dmzb.github.io/>

Wesleyan University Colloquium  
February 12, 2026

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$



## Basic Problem (Solving Diophantine Equations)

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be polynomials with integer coefficients, e.g.,

$$x^2 + y^2 + 1$$

$$x^3 - y^2 - 2$$

$$2y^2 + 17x^4 - 1$$

Basic problem: solve polynomial equations

Describe the set

$$V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \forall i, f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\},$$

i.e., the set of integer solutions to those polynomials

Fact

*Solving Diophantine equations is difficult.*

# Basic Problem (Solving Diophantine Equations)

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be polynomials with integer coefficients, e.g.,

$$x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$x^3 - y^2 - 2 = 0$$

$$2y^2 + 17x^4 - 1 = 0$$

Basic problem: solve polynomial equations

Describe the set

$$V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \forall i, f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\},$$

i.e., the set of integer solutions to those polynomials

Fact

*Solving Diophantine equations is difficult.*

# Hilbert's Tenth Problem

Theorem (Davis–Putnam–Robinson 1961, Matijasevič 1970)

*There does not exist an algorithm solving the following problem:*

**input:** integer polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ;

**output:** YES / NO according to whether the set of solutions

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \forall i, f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\}$$

*is non-empty.*

This is *known* to be true for many other cases (e.g.,  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{C}(t)$ ).

This is *still unknown* in many other cases (e.g.,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

# Fermat's Last Theorem - A Marvelous Proof

## Theorem (Wiles; Taylor)

*For primes  $p \geq 3$  the only integer solutions to the equation*

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

*are integer multiples of the triples*

$$(0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm 1, \mp 1, 0), \quad \pm(1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1).$$

# Fermat's Last Theorem - A Marvelous Proof

## Theorem (Wiles; Taylor)

*For primes  $p \geq 3$  the only integer solutions to the equation*

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

*are integer multiples of the triples*

$$(0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm 1, \mp 1, 0), \quad \pm(1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1).$$

This took 300 years to prove!

# Fermat's Last Theorem - A Marvelous Proof

## Theorem (Wiles; Taylor)

For primes  $p \geq 3$  the only integer solutions to the equation

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

are integer multiples of the triples

$$(0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm 1, \mp 1, 0), \quad \pm(1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1).$$

This took 300 years to prove!



# Fermat's Last Theorem - A Marvelous Proof

## Theorem (Wiles; Taylor)

For primes  $p \geq 3$  the only integer solutions to the equation

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

are integer multiples of the triples

$$(0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm 1, \mp 1, 0), \quad \pm(1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1).$$

This took 300 years to prove!



# Fermat's Last Theorem - A Marvelous Proof

## Theorem (Wiles; Taylor)


For primes  $p \geq 3$  the only integer solutions to the equation

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$


are integer multiples of the triples

$$(0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm 1, \mp 1, 0), \quad \pm(1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1).$$


This took 300 years to prove!




# Fermat's Last Theorem - aftermath






# Fermat's Last Theorem - aftermath





# Fermat's Last Theorem - aftermath






# Books



# Fermat trolling



# Fermat trolling



See <https://youtu.be/ReOQ300AcSU?si=--fAdsdPttt4HR3N>

**Basic Problem:**  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

**Qualitative:**

- ▶ Does there **exist** a solution?
- ▶ Do there exist **infinitely many** solutions?
- ▶ Does the set of solutions have some **extra structure** (e.g., geometric structure, group structure).

## Basic Problem: $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

### Qualitative:

- ▶ Does there **exist** a solution?
- ▶ Do there exist **infinitely many** solutions?
- ▶ Does the set of solutions have some **extra structure** (e.g., geometric structure, group structure).

### Quantitative

- ▶ How **many** solutions are there?
- ▶ How **large** is the **smallest** solution?
- ▶ How can we explicitly **find** all solutions? (With proof?)

# Basic Problem: $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

## Qualitative:

- ▶ Does there **exist** a solution?
- ▶ Do there exist **infinitely many** solutions?
- ▶ Does the set of solutions have some **extra structure** (e.g., geometric structure, group structure).

## Quantitative

- ▶ How **many** solutions are there?
- ▶ How **large** is the **smallest** solution?
- ▶ How can we explicitly **find** all solutions? (With proof?)

## Implicit question

- ▶ Why do equations **have** (or fail to have) solutions?
- ▶ Why do some have **many** and some have **none**?
- ▶ What **underlying mathematical structures** control this?

## Example: Pythagorean triples

$$3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$$

$$5^2 + 12^2 = 13^2$$



$$7^2 + 24^2 = 25^2$$

### Lemma


*The equation*

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$$

*has infinitely many non-zero coprime solutions.*



## Pythagorean triples



$$\text{Slope} = t = \frac{y}{x+1}$$

$$x = \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}$$

$$y = \frac{2t}{1+t^2}$$

# Pythagorean triples

## Lemma

*The solutions to*

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$

*(with  $c \neq 0$ ) are all multiples of the triples*

$$a = 1 - t^2$$

$$b = 2t$$

$$c = 1 + t^2$$

# The Mordell Conjecture

## Example

The equation  $y^2 + x^2 = 1$  has infinitely many solutions.

# The Mordell Conjecture

## Example

The equation  $y^2 + x^2 = 1$  has infinitely many solutions.

## Theorem (Faltings)

For  $n \geq 5$ , the equation

$$y^2 + x^n = 1$$

has only finitely many solutions.

# The Mordell Conjecture

## Example

The equation  $y^2 + x^2 = 1$  has infinitely many solutions.

## Theorem (Faltings)

For  $n \geq 5$ , the equation

$$y^2 + x^n = 1$$

has only finitely many solutions.

## Theorem (Faltings)

For  $n \geq 5$ , the equation

$$y^2 = f(x)$$

has only finitely many solutions if  $f(x)$  is **squarefree**, with **degree**  $> 4$ .

# Fermat Curves

## Question

Why is Fermat's last theorem believable?



- ➊  $x^n + y^n - z^n = 0$  looks like a surface (3 variables)
- ➋  $x^n + y^n - 1 = 0$  looks like a curve (2 variables)

# Mordell Conjecture

## Example

$$y^2 = -(x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$$

This is a cross section of a two holed torus.



The **genus** is the number of holes.

Conjecture (Mordell, 1922)

*A curve of genus  $g \geq 2$  has only finitely many rational solutions.*

# Fermat Curves

## Question

Why is Fermat's last theorem believable?

- 1  $x^n + y^n - z^n = 0$  looks like a surface (3 variables)
- 2  $x^n + y^n - 1 = 0$  looks like a curve (2 variables)
- 3 and has genus

$$(n - 1)(n - 2)/2$$

which is  $\geq 2$  iff  $n \geq 4$ .

# Fermat Curves


## Question

What if  $n = 3$ ?

- ➊  $x^3 + y^3 - 1 = 0$  is a curve of genus  $(3 - 1)(3 - 2)/2 = 1$ .
- ➋ We were lucky;  $Ax^3 + By^3 = Cz^3$  can have infinitely many solutions.

## Congruent number problem


$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 6$$



$$3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2, \quad 3 \cdot 4 = 2 \cdot 6$$

# Congruent number problem

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$



## Assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures

If you assume \$1,000,000 worth of conjectures, then the equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, \ xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

have **infinitely many** solutions. **How large** is the smallest solution?  
How many **digits** does the smallest solution have?

## Assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures

If you assume \$1,000,000 worth of conjectures, then the equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

have **infinitely many** solutions. **How large** is the smallest solution?  
How many **digits** does the smallest solution have?

$$x = \frac{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}$$

$$y = \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 157 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

$$z = \frac{20085078913 \cdot 1185369214457 \cdot 942545825502442041907480}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441 \cdot 157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

## Assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures

If you assume \$1,000,000 worth of conjectures, then the equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

have **infinitely many** solutions. **How large** is the smallest solution?  
How many **digits** does the smallest solution have?

$$x = \frac{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}$$

$$y = \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 157 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

$$z = \frac{20085078913 \cdot 1185369214457 \cdot 942545825502442041907480}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441 \cdot 157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

The denominator of  $z$  has **44 digits**!

## Assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures

If you assume \$1,000,000 worth of conjectures, then the equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

have **infinitely many** solutions. **How large** is the smallest solution?  
How many **digits** does the smallest solution have?

$$x = \frac{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}$$

$$y = \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 157 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

$$z = \frac{20085078913 \cdot 1185369214457 \cdot 942545825502442041907480}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441 \cdot 157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

The denominator of  $z$  has **44 digits**!  
How did anyone ever find this solution?

## Assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures

If you assume \$1,000,000 worth of conjectures, then the equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

have **infinitely many** solutions. **How large** is the smallest solution?  
How many **digits** does the smallest solution have?

$$x = \frac{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}$$

$$y = \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 157 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

$$z = \frac{20085078913 \cdot 1185369214457 \cdot 942545825502442041907480}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441 \cdot 157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

The denominator of  $z$  has **44 digits**!  
How did anyone ever find this solution?  
(Heegner Points)

## Assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures

If you assume \$1,000,000 worth of conjectures, then the equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

have **infinitely many** solutions. **How large** is the smallest solution?  
How many **digits** does the smallest solution have?

$$x = \frac{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}$$

$$y = \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 157 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441}{157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

$$z = \frac{20085078913 \cdot 1185369214457 \cdot 942545825502442041907480}{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \cdot 17401 \cdot 46997 \cdot 356441 \cdot 157841 \cdot 4947203 \cdot 52677109576}$$

The denominator of  $z$  has **44 digits**!  
How did anyone ever find this solution?  
(Heegner Points)  
“Next” solution has **176 digits**!

## Back of the envelope calculation (as of 2011)

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

- Num, den( $x, y, z$ )  $\leq 10 \sim 10^6$  many, **1 min** on Emory's computers.

## Back of the envelope calculation (as of 2011)

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

- Num,  $\text{den}(x, y, z) \leq 10 \sim 10^6$  many, **1 min** on Emory's computers.
- Num,  $\text{den}(x, y, z) \leq 10^{44} \sim 10^{264}$  many,  **$10^{258}$  mins =  $10^{252}$  years**.

## Back of the envelope calculation (as of 2011)


$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

- Num,  $\text{den}(x, y, z) \leq 10 \sim 10^6$  many, **1 min** on Emory's computers.
- Num,  $\text{den}(x, y, z) \leq 10^{44} \sim 10^{264}$  many,  **$10^{258}$  mins =  $10^{252}$  years**.
- $10^9$  many computers in the world – so  **$10^{243}$  years**

## Back of the envelope calculation (as of 2011)

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xy = 2 \cdot 157$$

- Num, den( $x, y, z$ )  $\leq 10 \sim 10^6$  many, **1 min** on Emory's computers.
- Num, den( $x, y, z$ )  $\leq 10^{44} \sim 10^{264}$  many,  **$10^{258}$  mins =  $10^{252}$  years**.
- $10^9$  many computers in the world – so  **$10^{243}$  years**
- Expected time until 'heat death' of universe –  **$10^{100}$  years**.



# Fermat Surfaces

## Conjecture


*The only solutions to the equation*

$$x^n + y^n = z^n + w^n, n \geq 5$$

*satisfy  $xyzw = 0$  or lie on the lines 'lines'  $x = z$ ,  $y = w$  (and permutations).*


# The Swinnerton-Dyer K3 surface

$$x^4 + 2y^4 = 1 + 4z^4$$



# The Swinnerton-Dyer K3 surface

$$x^4 + 2y^4 = 1 + 4z^4$$



Two ‘obvious’ solutions –  $(\pm 1 : 0 : 0)$ .

# The Swinnerton-Dyer K3 surface

$$x^4 + 2y^4 = 1 + 4z^4$$

- Two ‘obvious’ solutions –  $(\pm 1 : 0 : 0)$ .
- The next smallest solutions are  $(\pm \frac{1484801}{1169407}, \pm \frac{1203120}{1169407}, \pm \frac{1157520}{1169407})$ .

## Problem

*Find another solution. (Probably impossible.)*

## Back of envelope calculation

- ➊ **10<sup>16</sup> years** to find via brute force.
- ➋ Age of the universe – **13.75 ± .11 billion years** (roughly **10<sup>10</sup>**).

## Sums of cubes

$$1 = 1^3 + 0^3 + 0^3$$

$$2 = 1^3 + 1^3 + 0^3$$

$$3 = 1^3 + 1^3 + 1^3$$

$$3 = 4^3 + 4^3 + (-5)^3$$

$$4 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$5 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$6 = 1^3 + 1^3 + 2^3$$

### Conjecture (Heath-Brown)

*The equation*

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = n$$

*has an integer solution if and only if  $n$  is not 4 or 5 mod 9.*

# Solved by Booker–Sutherland

$$32 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$33 =$$

# Solved by Booker–Sutherland

$$32 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$33 = 8866128975287528^3 + (-8778405442862239)^3 + (-2736111468807040)^3$$

# Solved by Booker–Sutherland

$$32 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$33 = 8866128975287528^3 + (-8778405442862239)^3 + (-2736111468807040)^3$$

$$42 = (-80538738812075974)^3 + 80435758145817515^3 + 12602123297335631^3$$

# Solved by Booker–Sutherland

$$32 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$33 = 8866128975287528^3 + (-8778405442862239)^3 + (-2736111468807040)^3$$

$$42 = (-80538738812075974)^3 + 80435758145817515^3 + 12602123297335631^3$$



<https://www.quantamagazine.org/why-the-sum-of-three-cubes-is-a-hard-math-problem-20191105/>

# Solved by Booker–Sutherland

$$32 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$33 = 8866128975287528^3 + (-8778405442862239)^3 + (-2736111468807040)^3$$

$$42 = (-80538738812075974)^3 + 80435758145817515^3 + 12602123297335631^3$$

$$3 = 569936821221962380720^3 + (-569936821113563493509)^3 + (-472715493453327032)^3$$



# Solved by Booker–Sutherland

$$32 \neq x^3 + y^3 + z^3$$

$$33 = 8866128975287528^3 + (-8778405442862239)^3 + (-2736111468807040)^3$$

$$42 = (-80538738812075974)^3 + 80435758145817515^3 + 12602123297335631^3$$

$$3 = 569936821221962380720^3 + (-569936821113563493509)^3 + (-472715493453327032)^3$$

$$114 = x^3 + y^3 + z^3?$$



## “Generalized” Fermat equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$(\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1),$$

## “Generalized” Fermat equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$(\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1),$$

## “Generalized” Fermat equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$(\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1), \\ (\pm 71, -17, 2),$$

## “Generalized” Fermat equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*


$$\begin{aligned} & (\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1), \\ & (\pm 71, -17, 2), (\pm 2213459, 1414, 65), \quad (\pm 15312283, 9262, 113), \\ & (\pm 21063928, -76271, 17). \end{aligned}$$

# “Generalized” Fermat equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$(\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1), \\ (\pm 71, -17, 2), (\pm 2213459, 1414, 65), \quad (\pm 15312283, 9262, 113), \\ (\pm 21063928, -76271, 17).$$




# “Generalized” Fermat equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$(\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1), \\ (\pm 71, -17, 2), (\pm 2213459, 1414, 65), \quad (\pm 15312283, 9262, 113), \\ (\pm 21063928, -76271, 17).$$



# Generalized Fermat Equations

## Problem

*What are the solutions to the equation  $x^a + y^b = z^c$ ?*


# Generalized Fermat Equations

## Problem

*What are the solutions to the equation  $x^a + y^b = z^c$ ?*

## Theorem (Darmon and Granville)

*Fix  $a, b, c \geq 2$ . Then the equation  $x^a + y^b = z^c$  has only finitely many coprime integer solutions iff  $\chi = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1 \leq 0$ .*



Known Solutions to  $x^a + y^b = z^c$  with  $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} < 1$

$$1^p + 2^3 = 3^2, \quad 2^5 + 7^2 = 3^4$$

$$7^3 + 13^2 = 2^9, \quad 2^7 + 17^3 = 71^2$$

$$3^5 + 11^4 = 122^2$$

$$17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2$$

$$1414^3 + 2213459^2 = 65^7$$

$$9262^3 + 153122832^2 = 113^7$$

$$43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2$$

$$33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3$$

Known Solutions to  $x^a + y^b = z^c$  with  $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} < 1$

$$1^p + 2^3 = 3^2, \quad 2^5 + 7^2 = 3^4$$

$$7^3 + 13^2 = 2^9, \quad 2^7 + 17^3 = 71^2$$

$$3^5 + 11^4 = 122^2$$

$$17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2$$

$$1414^3 + 2213459^2 = 65^7$$

$$9262^3 + 153122832^2 = 113^7$$

$$43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2$$

$$33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3$$

Problem (Beal's conjecture)

*These are all solutions with  $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1 < 0$ .*

# Generalized Fermat Equations – Known Solutions

Conjecture (Beal, Granville, Tijdeman–Zagier)

*This is a complete list of coprime non-zero solutions such that*

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1 < 0.$$

# Generalized Fermat Equations – Known Solutions

Conjecture (Beal, Granville, Tijdeman–Zagier)

*This is a complete list of coprime non-zero solutions such that*

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1 < 0.$$

\$1,000,000 prize for proof of conjecture...

# Generalized Fermat Equations – Known Solutions

Conjecture (Beal, Granville, Tijdeman–Zagier)

*This is a complete list of coprime non-zero solutions such that*

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1 < 0.$$

\$1,000,000 prize for proof of conjecture...

...or even for a counterexample.

# Generalized Fermat Equations – Known Solutions

Conjecture (Beal, Granville, Tijdeman–Zagier)

*This is a complete list of coprime non-zero solutions such that*

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - 1 < 0.$$

\$1,000,000 prize for proof of conjecture...

...or even for a counterexample.



# Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1), \\ & (\pm 71, -17, 2), (\pm 2213459, 1414, 65), \quad (\pm 15312283, 9262, 113), \\ & (\pm 21063928, -76271, 17). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{7} - 1 = -\frac{1}{42} < 0$$

# Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll)

*The coprime integer solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$  are the 16 triples*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pm 1, -1, 0), \quad (\pm 1, 0, 1), \quad \pm(0, 1, 1), \quad (\pm 3, -2, 1), \\ & (\pm 71, -17, 2), (\pm 2213459, 1414, 65), \quad (\pm 15312283, 9262, 113), \\ & (\pm 21063928, -76271, 17). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{7} - 1 = -\frac{1}{42} < 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - 1 = 0$$

# Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Darmon, Merel)

*Any pairwise coprime solution to the equation*

$$x^n + y^n = z^2, n > 4$$

*satisfies*  $xyz = 0$ .

$$\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} - 1 = \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{2}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

## Other applications of the modular method

Ideas behind the proof of FLT permeate the study of diophantine problems.

## Other applications of the modular method

Ideas behind the proof of FLT permeate the study of diophantine problems.

**Theorem (Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek; 2006)**

*The only Fibonacci numbers that are perfect powers are*

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1, F_6 = 8, F_{12} = 144.$$

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, ...

## Other applications of the modular method

Ideas behind the proof of FLT permeate the study of diophantine problems.

### Theorem (Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek; 2006)

*The only Fibonacci numbers that are perfect powers are*

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1, F_6 = 8, F_{12} = 144.$$

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, \dots$$

### Theorem (Silliman–Vogt; 2013 REU)

*0 and 1 are the only perfect powers in the Lucas sequence*

$$L_1 = 0, L_2 = 1, \quad L_n = 3L_{n-1} - 2L_{n-2}.$$

$$0, 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, 127, 255, 511, 1023, 2047, 4095, 8191, \dots, 2^n - 1, \dots$$

# Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Klein, Zagier, Beukers, Edwards, others)

*The equation*

$$x^2 + y^3 = z^5$$

# Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Klein, Zagier, Beukers, Edwards, others)

*The equation*

$$x^2 + y^3 = z^5$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - 1 = \frac{1}{30} > 0$$

## Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Klein, Zagier, Beukers, Edwards, others)

*The equation*

$$x^2 + y^3 = z^5$$

*has infinitely many coprime solutions*

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - 1 = \frac{1}{30} > 0$$

# Examples of Generalized Fermat Equations

Theorem (Klein, Zagier, Beukers, Edwards, others)

*The equation*

$$x^2 + y^3 = z^5$$

*has infinitely many coprime solutions*

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - 1 = \frac{1}{30} > 0$$

$$(T/2)^2 + H^3 + (f/12^3)^5$$

- 1  $f = st(t^{10} - 11t^5s^5 - s^{10})$ ,
- 2  $H = \text{Hessian of } f$ ,
- 3  $T = \text{a degree 3 covariant of the dodecahedron.}$

$(a, b, c)$  such that  $\chi < 0$  and the solutions to  $x^a + y^b = z^c$  have been determined.

$\{n, n, n\}$	Wiles, Taylor–Wiles, building on work of many others
$\{2, n, n\}$	Darmon–Merel, others for small $n$
$\{3, n, n\}$	Darmon–Merel, others for small $n$
$\{5, 2n, 2n\}$	Bennett
$(2, 4, n)$	Ellenberg, Bruin, Ghioca $n \geq 4$
$(2, n, 4)$	Bennett–Skinner; $n \geq 4$
$\{2, 3, n\}$	Poonen–Shaefer–Stoll, Bruin. $6 \leq n \leq 9$
$\{2, 2\ell, 3\}$	Chen, Dahmen, Siksek; primes $7 < \ell < 1000$ with $\ell \neq 31$
$\{3, 3, n\}$	Bruin; $n = 4, 5$
$\{3, 3, \ell\}$	Kraus; primes $17 \leq \ell \leq 10000$
$(2, 2n, 5)$	Chen $n \geq 3^*$
$(4, 2n, 3)$	Bennett–Chen $n \geq 3$
$(6, 2n, 2)$	Bennett–Chen $n \geq 3$
$(2, 6, n)$	Bennett–Chen $n \geq 3$

$(a, b, c)$  such that  $\chi < 0$  and the solutions to  $x^a + y^b = z^c$  have been determined.

$\{n, n, n\}$	Wiles, Taylor–Wiles, building on work of many others
$\{2, n, n\}$	Darmon–Merel, others for small $n$
$\{3, n, n\}$	Darmon–Merel, others for small $n$
$\{5, 2n, 2n\}$	Bennett
$(2, 4, n)$	Ellenberg, Bruin, Ghioca $n \geq 4$
$(2, n, 4)$	Bennett–Skinner; $n \geq 4$
$\{2, 3, n\}$	Poonen–Shaefer–Stoll, Bruin. $6 \leq n \leq 9$
$\{2, 2\ell, 3\}$	Chen, Dahmen, Siksek; primes $7 < \ell < 1000$ with $\ell \neq 31$
$\{3, 3, n\}$	Bruin; $n = 4, 5$
$\{3, 3, \ell\}$	Kraus; primes $17 \leq \ell \leq 10000$
$(2, 2n, 5)$	Chen $n \geq 3^*$
$(4, 2n, 3)$	Bennett–Chen $n \geq 3$
$(6, 2n, 2)$	Bennett–Chen $n \geq 3$
$(2, 6, n)$	Bennett–Chen $n \geq 3$
$(2, 3, 10)$	<b>ZB</b>

# Faltings' theorem / Mordell's conjecture

Theorem (Faltings, Vojta, Bombieri)

*Let  $X$  be a smooth curve with genus at least 2. Then  $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ .*

Example

For  $g \geq 2$ ,  $y^2 = x^{2g+1} + 1$  has only finitely many solutions with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Conjecture (Lang, Vojta)

*Let  $X$  be a variety of general type. Then  $X(\mathbb{Q})$  is not (Zariski) dense.*

# Uniformity

## Problem

- 1 Given  $X$ , compute  $X(\mathbb{Q})$  exactly.
- 2 Compute bounds on  $\#X(\mathbb{Q})$ .

## Conjecture (Uniformity)

*There exists a constant  $N(g)$  such that every smooth curve of genus  $g$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has at most  $N(g)$  rational points.*

## Theorem (Caporaso, Harris, Mazur)

*Lang's conjecture  $\Rightarrow$  uniformity.*

## Uniformity numerics

$g$	2	3	4	5	10	45	$g$
$B_g(\mathbb{Q})$	642	112	126	132	192	781	$16(g + 1)$

## Uniformity numerics

$g$	2	3	4	5	10	45	$g$
$B_g(\mathbb{Q})$	642	112	126	132	192	781	$16(g + 1)$

$$y^2 = 82342800x^6 - 470135160x^5 + 52485681x^4 + 2396040466x^3 + 567207969x^2 - 985905640x + 247747600$$

## Uniformity numerics

$g$	2	3	4	5	10	45	$g$
$B_g(\mathbb{Q})$	642	112	126	132	192	781	$16(g + 1)$

$$y^2 = 82342800x^6 - 470135160x^5 + 52485681x^4 + 2396040466x^3 + 567207969x^2 - 985905640x + 247747600$$

$$x = -3898675687/2462651894$$

$$y = 414541623698393040986922116885/83905238898871602089890028$$

## Uniformity numerics

$g$	2	3	4	5	10	45	$g$
$B_g(\mathbb{Q})$	642	112	126	132	192	781	$16(g+1)$

$$y^2 = 82342800x^6 - 470135160x^5 + 52485681x^4 + 2396040466x^3 + 567207969x^2 - 985905640x + 247747600$$

$$x = -3898675687/2462651894$$

$$y = 414541623698393040986922116885/83905238898871602089890028$$

### Remark

Elkies studied K3 surfaces of the form

$$y^2 = S(t, u, v)$$

with lots of rational lines, such that  $S$  restricted to such a line is a square.

# Main Theorem (uniformity for curves of small rank)

## Theorem (Katz–Rabinoff–ZB)

Let  $X$  be *any* curve of genus  $g$  and let  $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{Q})$ . Suppose  $r < g - 2$ . Then

$$\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 84g^2 - 98g + 28$$

## Tools

$p$ -adic integration on [annuli](#)

comparison of different [analytic continuations](#) of  $p$ -adic integration

$\text{Non-Archimedean}$  (Berkovich) structure of a curve [BPR]

Combinatorial restraints coming from the  $\text{Tropical}$  canonical bundle

# Coleman's bound

## Theorem (Coleman, 1985)

Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $g$  and let  $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{Q})$ . Suppose  $p > 2g$  is a prime of **good reduction**. Suppose  $r < g$ . Then

$$\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \#X(\mathbb{F}_p) + 2g - 2.$$

## Remark

- 1 A modified statement holds for  $p \leq 2g$  or for  $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$ .
- 2 **This does not prove uniformity** (since the first good  $p$  might be large).

## Tools

$p$ -adic integration and Riemann–Roch

## Example (from McCallum–Poonen’s survey paper)

### Example

$$X: y^2 = x^6 + 8x^5 + 22x^4 + 22x^3 + 5x^2 + 6x + 1$$

1 Points  $P_t$  reducing mod 3 to  $\tilde{Q} = (0, 1)$  are given by

$$x = 3 \cdot t, \text{ where } t \in \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$y = \sqrt{x^6 + 8x^5 + 22x^4 + 22x^3 + 5x^2 + 6x + 1} = 1 + x^2 + \dots$$

2  $\int_{(0,1)}^{P_t} \frac{xdx}{y} = \int_0^t (x - x^3 + \dots) dx$

## *p*-adic integration

(Chabauty, Coleman) There exists  $V \subset H^0(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega_X^1)$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \geq g - r$  such that,

$$\int_P^Q \omega = 0 \quad \forall P, Q \in X(\mathbb{Q}), \omega \in V$$

### Example

$$X: y^2 = x^6 + 8x^5 + 22x^4 + 22x^3 + 5x^2 + 6x + 1$$

1 Points reducing mod 3 to  $\tilde{Q} = (0, 1)$  are given by

$$x = 3 \cdot t, \text{ where } t \in \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$y = \sqrt{x^6 + 8x^5 + 22x^4 + 22x^3 + 5x^2 + 6x + 1} = 1 + x^2 + \dots$$

2  $\int_{(0,1)}^{P_t} \frac{xdx}{y} = \int_0^t (x - x^3 + \dots) dx$

## Chabauty's method

**( $p$ -adic integration)** There exists  $V \subset H^0(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega_X^1)$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \geq g - r$  such that

$$\int_P^Q \omega = 0 \quad \forall P, Q \in X(\mathbb{Q}), \omega \in V.$$

**(Coleman, via Newton Polygons)** Number of zeroes in a residue disc  $D_P$  is  $\leq 1 + n_P$ , where  $n_P = \#(\text{div } \omega \cap D_P)$

**(Riemann–Roch)**  $\sum n_P = 2g - 2$ .

**(Coleman's bound)**  $\sum_{P \in X(\mathbb{F}_p)} (1 + n_P) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_p) + 2g - 2$ .

# Stoll's hyperelliptic uniformity theorem

Theorem (Stoll, 2013)

Let  $X$  be a *hyperelliptic* curve of genus  $g$  and let  $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{Q})$ .  
Suppose  $r < g - 2$ .

Then

$$\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 8(r + 4)(g - 1) + \max\{1, 4r\} \cdot g$$

Tools

$p$ -adic integration on *annuli*

comparison of different analytic continuations of  $p$ -adic integration

# Main Theorem (uniformity for curves of small rank)

## Theorem (Katz–Rabinoff–ZB)

Let  $X$  be *any* curve of genus  $g$  and let  $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{Q})$ . Suppose  $r < g - 2$ . Then

$$\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 84g^2 - 98g + 28$$

## Tools

$p$ -adic integration on [annuli](#)

comparison of different [analytic continuations](#) of  $p$ -adic integration

$\text{Non-Archimedean}$  (Berkovich) structure of a curve [BPR]

Combinatorial restraints coming from the  $\text{Tropical}$  canonical bundle

## Comments

### Corollary ((Partially) effective Manin-Mumford)

*There is an effective constant  $N(g)$  such that if  $g(X) = g$ , then*

$$\#(X \cap \text{Jac}_{X,\text{tors}})(\mathbb{Q}) \leq N(g)$$

### Corollary


*There is an effective constant  $N'(g)$  such that if  $g(X) = g > 3$  and  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  has **totally degenerate, trivalent** reduction mod 2, then*

$$\#(X \cap \text{Jac}_{X,\text{tors}})(\mathbb{C}) \leq N'(g)$$

The second corollary is a big improvement



- ① It requires working over a **non-discretely valued** field.
- ② The bound **only depends on the reduction type**.
- ③ Integration over **wide opens** (c.f. Coleman) instead of discs and annuli.

# Berkovich picture



# Baker–Payne–Rabinoff and the slope formula

(Dual graph  $\Gamma$  of  $X_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ )



(Contraction Theorem)  $\tau: X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \Gamma$ .

(Combinatorial harmonic analysis/potential theory)

$f$

a meromorphic function on  $X^{\text{an}}$

$F := (-\log |f|) \big|_{\Gamma}$

associated tropical, piecewise linear function

$\text{div } F$

combinatorial record of the slopes of  $F$

(Slope formula)  $\tau_* \text{div } f = \text{div } F$

# Berkovich picture

